10/644,676 EVERETT, GREGORY J.	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
All Participants: (1) CUONG H. NGUYEN (3)		10/644,676	EVERETT, GREGORY J.
All Participants: (1) CUONG H. NGUYEN. (2) Mr. Irving M. Weiner (Reg. No. 22168). (4)		Examiner	Art Unit
(2) Mr. Irving M. Weiner (Reg. No. 22168). (2) Mr. Irving M. Weiner (Reg. No. 22168). (3)		CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661
Date of Interview: 2 October 2005 Time: 11:40 am Type of Interview: 2 October 2005 Time: 11:40 am Type of Interview: 3 Telephonic	All Participants:	Status of Application:	
Type of Interview: 2 October 2005 Time: 11:40 am Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: N/A Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/25/2005; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(1) <u>CUONG H. NGUYEN</u> .	(3)	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Trelephonic Tydeo Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: N/A Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/25/2005; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directive in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directive in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(2) Mr. Irving M. Weiner (Reg. No. 22168).	(4)	
Telephonic dideo Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: <u>2 October 2005</u>	Time: <u>11:40 am</u>	
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A Claims discussed: N/A Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/25/2005; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. Cumpling Cumplin	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No 	ant's representative)	
Cuenching discussed: N/A Claims discussed: N/A Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/25/2005; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. Cuenching The Company of the Summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/25/2005; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			·
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/25/2005; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/25/2005; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/25/2005; therefore, this case is automatically in ABANDON status. Please call the examiner of the record (571-272-6759) if there is any further question. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	USPTO receives no response for an Office Action mailed on 3/2	25/2005; therefore, this case is aut	
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part III.		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	e examiner will provide a writt	en summary of the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	record of the substance of the ry by the examiner appears in	e interview, since the interview Part II above.
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		• .	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	Complement /		
	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)